



US006966820B1

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Lyons, III et al.**

(10) **Patent No.: US 6,966,820 B1**  
(45) **Date of Patent: Nov. 22, 2005**

(54) **HIGH QUALITY OPTICALLY POLISHED ALUMINUM MIRROR AND PROCESS FOR PRODUCING**

(75) Inventors: **James J. Lyons, III**, Shaprsburg, MD (US); **John J. Zaniewski**, Berlin, MD (US)

(73) Assignee: **The United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration**, Washington, DC (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 517 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/012,247**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 10, 2001**

#### Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 09/492,085, filed on Jan. 27, 2000, now Pat. No. 6,350,176.

(51) **Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup>** ..... **B24B 1/00**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** ..... **451/36; 451/37; 451/44; 451/54; 451/55; 451/57**

(58) **Field of Search** ..... **451/36, 37, 44, 451/54, 55, 57, 58, 59**

#### (56) References Cited

##### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,115,177 A 9/1978 Nelson  
4,431,268 A 2/1984 Ohno et al.  
4,457,587 A 7/1984 Katayama et al.  
4,482,209 A 11/1984 Grewal et al.  
4,534,827 A 8/1985 Henderson  
4,599,827 A 7/1986 Goodwin  
4,915,494 A \* 4/1990 Shipley et al. .... 359/848

5,095,664 A 3/1992 Zayhowski  
5,212,581 A \* 5/1993 Brash ..... 359/216  
5,565,052 A \* 10/1996 Papenburg et al. .... 156/155  
5,640,282 A 6/1997 Ise et al.  
5,779,871 A 7/1998 Gillich  
5,897,426 A 4/1999 Somekh  
5,913,712 A 6/1999 Molinar  
5,978,133 A 11/1999 Gillich  
6,051,203 A 4/2000 Solntsev et al.  
6,077,337 A 6/2000 Lee  
6,099,389 A 8/2000 Nichols et al.  
6,099,604 A 8/2000 Sandhu et al.  
6,120,354 A 9/2000 Koose et al.  
6,218,306 B1 4/2001 Fishkin et al.  
6,540,843 B1 \* 4/2003 Liu et al. .... 148/243

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Diamonds Turn Infrared Mirrors Smooth: Daniel Vukobratovich et al; Optoelectronic World, pp. S25-S28; Oct. 1998.

\* cited by examiner


*Primary Examiner*—Timothy V. Eley

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Christopher H. Kirkman

#### (57) ABSTRACT

A new technical advancement in the field of precision aluminum optics permits high quality optical polishing of aluminum monolith, which, in the field of optics, offers numerous benefits because of its machinability, lightweight, and low cost. This invention combines diamond turning and conventional polishing along with india ink, a newly adopted material, for the polishing to accomplish a significant improvement in surface precision of aluminum monolith for optical purposes. This invention guarantees the precise optical polishing of typical bare aluminum monolith to surface roughness of less than about 30 angstroms rms and preferably about 5 angstroms rms while maintaining a surface figure accuracy in terms of surface figure error of not more than one-fifteenth of wave peak-to-valley.

**32 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets**






**Fig. 1**

FIG. 2





1

# HIGH QUALITY OPTICALLY POLISHED ALUMINUM MIRROR AND PROCESS FOR PRODUCING

## CROSS-REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This Application is a Continuation-In-Part of application Ser. No. 09/492,085 filed Jan. 27, 2000, "High Quality Optically Polished Aluminum Mirror and Process for Producing," now U.S. Pat. No. 6,350,176.

## ORIGIN OF THE INVENTION

The invention described herein was made by employees of the United States Government. The invention may be manufactured and used by or for the governmental purposes without the payment of royalties thereon or therefor.

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to polishing an optic surface and more particularly to polishing an optic surface on an aluminum monolith.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Metallic mirrors have been widely used for instruments in space and military applications. System performance of the instruments is largely dependent upon the reflective surface of the mirror. Performance of the optical mount, and its thermal and mechanical characteristics also have effects on the performance of the optical component. In actuality the optical mount has a significant impact on performance of the optical system in achieving objectives of any scientific and engineering experiment. When both optical mount and mirror substrate are of the same material there is uniformity of thermal properties. Also the high thermal conductivity of a metal mirror helps decrease cooling time in cryogenic applications.

Many spacecraft systems utilize aluminum materials for structural components in cases of cold or cryogenic use. Aluminum materials may also be used for mirrors as aluminum offers numerous benefits because of its machinability, lightweight, and low cost.

Due to light scattering, which results from poorly polished surfaces, however, bare aluminum cannot be readily implemented as an acceptable mirror material for UV, IR, and visible applications. The scattering lowers the signal-to-noise ratio and throughput.

Existing technology attempts to remedy this dilemma by electro-plating a thin layer of electroless nickel to the entire component surface and then optically polishing the plated nickel. The result creates a tradeoff whereby surface roughness is decreased while thermal and mechanical stability of the optic are severely compromised at all but room temperatures. This is especially true for aluminum optics that have been light-weighted. Further complicating matters is the fact that the mount is usually an integrally machined part of an aluminum optic. While these characteristics are great for dimensional requirements and ease of design, they create havoc on the optical performance once all surfaces are evenly plated with nickel. The electroless nickel platings also can cause bi-metallic stresses to deteriorate optical performance. Another problem of plating aluminum with electroless nickel is that manufacturing costs grow because nickel polishes more slowly than conventional optical materials.

2

One prior technique has overcome such problems yet provides inferior optical performance to one proposed by the present invention. For example, see "Diamonds turn infrared mirrors smooth", by Daniel Vukobratovich, et al, Optoelectronics World, page S25-S28, October 1998. The prior technique plates an aluminum substrate with an amorphous layer of high-purity aluminum. Then the plated substrate is diamond-turned to produce a mirror with surface roughness of 30 angstroms rms with surface accuracy in terms of surface figure error of 0.380 wave peak-to-valley. This plated substrate is theoretically bimetallic and should experience the bimetallic deformation to some degree. By comparison the present invention provides an aluminum mirror of about 5 angstroms rms surface roughness with surface accuracy in terms of surface figure error as low as one-fifteenth of a wave peak-to-valley without any bimetallic deformations.

In addition to superior optical performance, this invention provides the following advantages by eliminating the electroless nickel plating from the aluminum mirrors:

- (1) Drastic cost savings during fabrication.
- (2) Reduced risk associated with polishing through nickel to the aluminum. This requires that the part be stripped of the remaining nickel and re-plated. To do so, the optical surface must again be prepared for plating because the stripping procedure etches the aluminum.
- (3) Drastic performance improvements. Properly heat-treated bare aluminum performs well in cryogenic conditions without the nickel plating.
- (4) Reduced cost of final component characterizations. Plated mirrors that show abnormalities are often tested and re-tested to determine the impact on the system performance. If the problem is identified to be with the nickel plating as is often the case, then the process must be completely repeated by stripping the mirror and starting over.

Properly implemented, therefore, the proposed innovation will eliminate many of the associated problems now common with current aluminum mirror technology, delivering aluminum optics with superior accuracy.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention presents a high quality optically polished aluminum mirror. This invention also presents a novel method of optically polishing metallic monolith in a conventional polishing manner by employing modern techniques with a combination of compatible ingredients. The polishing process of this invention is comprised of pre-polishing, polishing, and cleaning steps. The pre-polishing step is expected to produce a pre-polished surface having a surface roughness of not more than 100 angstroms rms with a surface accuracy in terms of surface figure error of not more than about one-half of a wave peak-to-valley. The polishing step to be performed on the pre-polished surface adopts a polishing agent comprising an aqueous dispersion of abrasive particles, a catalyst, and an organic solvent. After a predetermined surface roughness with a surface accuracy is accomplished, the polished surface is to be cleaned to remove the polishing agent as well as residue from the polishing process.

## DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a view of the polishing tool assembly.

FIG. 2 is a flow chart of one preferred process for producing high quality optically polished surface on an aluminum monolith according to the invention.

FIG. 3 is a flow chart of another preferred process for producing high quality optically polished surface on an aluminum monolith according to the invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION

This present invention provides an aluminum mirror of less than about 30 angstroms rms and preferably about 5 angstroms rms surface roughness with surface accuracy in terms of surface figure error as low as one-fifteenth of a wave peak-to-valley. The inventors used commercial grade aluminum, for example, 6061-T6 aluminum, to produce the aluminum mirror presented by this invention. Inventors believe that further polishing of the aluminum mirror mentioned above with the polishing process proposed by this invention can produce an aluminum mirror of higher quality.

The polishing process proposed by this invention can be applied to other optically feasible substrates including glass, nickel, stainless steel, and many other glasses or metal materials.

Referring to FIG. 1, the polishing operation is performed by the precise assembly of components to create a polishing tool assembly 100. A select grade of pitch used exclusively for optical fabrication is melted and poured on a cast iron lap 8. The pitch is allowed to cool and then shaped and grooved according to the optician's discretion. The pitch fabricated in this manner is referred to as a polisher 6. Once complete, the polisher 6 is installed on the machine spindle 10. The optician then applies the appropriate amount of a polishing agent to the surface of the polisher 6, and places the aluminum monolith 4 onto the polisher 6.

In one embodiment as shown in FIG. 2, one of the steps of this innovative polishing method is pre-polishing (Step 210) to produce a pre-polished surface having a surface roughness of not more than about 100 angstroms rms with a surface accuracy in terms of surface figure error of not more than about one-half of a wave peak-to-valley.

The pre-polishing of the surface of the metallic monolith may be effected by diamond turning. The process of diamond turning is a precision method of producing accurate mirrored surfaces of optical quality (for some wavelengths) on bare aluminum and other materials. It is successful because the turning or cutting action of the sharp diamond tool serves to peel thin layers of aluminum from the surfaces at such small portions as to produce a polished finish whereby other machining processes actually tear the material away from the substrate. The amount of material removed on the typical final cut is 0.0001 inch. The diamond turning process allows surface figure errors of approximately 0.5 of a wave peak-to-valley over components up to four inches in diameter and surface roughness of generally 100 angstroms. The precision degrades slightly as the size of the component grows beyond four inches.

A bevel 12 may be formed adjacent to the surface to be polished during the present polishing process. (Step 201) The bevel 12 may be formed by conventional optical polishing methods, e.g. grinding, sanding, brushing, polishing, etc. or by diamond turning. It is preferable to form the bevel 12 prior to the pre-polishing step (Step 210). However, the bevel 12 may be formed at any time during the pre-polishing step (Step 210) but prior to the polishing step. (Step 220) The surface of the bevel 12 may be flat but is preferably rounded. Width of the bevel 12 may vary depending on width of the optical surface to be polished, typically in the range of 0.25 to 0.070 inch.

The polisher 6 is coated with a polishing agent before the pre-polished metallic monolith is placed thereon. The monolith 4 is placed on the polisher. Then the pivot pin 2 is lowered into a small pre-drilled hole in the back of the monolith 4, and the assembly 100 is set to motion. As the machine spindle 10 rotates, the polisher 6 and the metal substrate 4 also rotate while the pivot pin 2 passes back and forth over the polisher 6 at a pre-determined distance. The geometry is such that all points of the polisher 6 and all points of the metal substrate 4 see the same amount of surface feet per minute of contact resulting in even material removal. This method of polishing is called random motion polishing. The polishing operation (Step 220) is performed for a predetermined duration. The monolith 4 is inspected (Step 224) to determine if an acceptable surface figure and roughness are have been achieved.

This polishing continues until an acceptable surface figure error with surface roughness is achieved. (Steps 216 through 226).

The polishing process continues with applying an appropriate amount of a polishing agent to the surface of the polisher and placing the optical monolith onto the polisher 6. A polishing agent is applied to the surface of the polisher 6 of the polishing tool assembly 100 (Step 216). The polishing agent provides lubrication for the aluminum monolith to be polished. During the polishing operation (Step 220) of the polishing tool assembly 100, the polisher 6 should be maintained to be wet with the polishing agent.

The material used as a polishing agent is different from those of normal polishing materials. The polishing agent employed in the present invention comprises an aqueous dispersion of abrasive particles, a catalyst, and organic solvent. The best mode of this invention employs india ink as a polishing agent.

India ink is a solvent based black ink, which is being used in fields other than printing. For example, U.S. Pat. No. 5,383,472, which is a biology related invention, utilizes the india ink to handle biopsy tissue specimen.

Based on analysis conducted by the inventor the india ink comprises carbon black, ammonium hydroxide, phenol, ethylene glycol and water, all of which provide suitable interactions between the polisher and the surfaces of bare aluminum monolith to produce high quality optical surface thereon. Thus, the polishing agent may be replaced with a mixture of carbon black, ammonium hydroxide, phenol, ethylene glycol and water, the mixing proportions of the materials are 7-8%, 1-2%, 0.2-1%, 1-2%, and 85-90% by weight, respectively, based on the total weight of the polishing agent.

The polishing process may be repeated with the polishing agent that is gradually diluted with water. The mixing proportions of the polishing agent and diluting water are 100-50% and 0-50% by weight, respectively, based on the total weight of the diluted polishing agent.

After the polishing operation (Step 220) and before the measuring operation (Step 224), which is to measure the surface of the metallic monolith for verifying whether predetermined values of surface roughness and surface accuracy have been obtained, the aluminum monolith must be cleaned (Step 224) to remove the polishing agent from the aluminum monolith 4 and the polisher 6. This cleaning process (Step 224) removes any residue of the polishing agent which might degrade on the aluminum monolith 4 and the polisher 6. The cleaning process (Step 224) involves water, a cleaning liquid comprising ammonia and water, paper towels, and solvent such as acetone, and is performed in the following sequence: (1) deactivating the polishing tool

5

assembly **100**, (2) removing the aluminum monolith **6** from the polishing tool assembly **100**, (3) spraying a cleaning liquid over the entire surface of the aluminum monolith **4**, (4) allowing the aluminum monolith **4** to dry, (5) rinsing the aluminum monolith **4** with a solvent, and (6) wiping the polisher **6** using cold water and a paper towel.

The polishing process with the polishing agent (step **216** through **224**) is repeated until the surface of the aluminum monolith has met predetermined values of surface roughness and surface accuracy.

In another preferred embodiment, as shown in FIG. **3**, this invention also employs diamond particles for refining the pre-polished surface of the metallic monolith **4**. Diamond particles, whose size is within the ranges of 0.25 to 0.5 microns for this invention, are sprinkled on the surface of the polisher **6**, which is coated with the polishing agent. Then, for the refining process (Step **322**), random motion polishing is performed for about 15 minutes to get rid of diffraction (i.e. rainbow effect) on the aluminum monolith to be polished.

Pre-polishing (Step **310**) is performed until the surface of said metal substrate is of surface accuracy of 0.5 of a wave peak-to-valley and surface roughness of 100 angstroms rms. Diamond turning is one of the methods that can accomplish the surface accuracy and the surface roughness.

The bevel **12** may be formed adjacent to the surface to be polished during the present polishing process. (Step **301**) The bevel **12** may be formed by conventional optical polishing methods, e.g. grinding, sanding, brushing, polishing, etc. or by diamond turning. It is preferable to form the bevel **12** prior to the pre-polishing step (Step **310**). However, the bevel **12** may be formed at any time during the pre-polishing step (Step **310**) but prior to the polishing step. (Step **330**) The surface of the bevel **12** may be flat but is preferably rounded. Width of the bevel **12** may vary depending on width of the optical surface to be polished, typically in the range of 0.25 to 0.070 inch.

The polishing agent is applied to the surface of the polisher **6** of the polishing tool assembly **100** (Step **316**). The polishing agent provides lubrication for the aluminum monolith to be polished. During the polishing operation (Step **322**) of the polishing tool assembly **100**, the polisher **6** should be covered with the polishing agent.

Diamond particles are sprinkled (Step **318**) on the surface of the polisher **6**, which is coated with the polishing agent in step **316**. Then the aluminum substrate **4** is placed on the polisher **6** (Step **320**). Next the pivot pin **2** is lowered into a small pre-drilled hole in the back of the substrate **4**, and the assembly **100** is set to motion (Step **322**) for about 15 minutes.

To remove the diamond particles and the polishing agent from the metal substrate **4** and the polisher **6**, cleaning (Step **324**) is performed in the following sequence: (1) deactivating the polishing tool **100**, (2) removing the metal substrate **6** from the polishing tool **100**, (3) spraying a cleaning liquid over the entire surface of the metal substrate **4**, (4) allowing the metal substrate **4** to dry, (5) rinsing the metal substrate **4** with a solvent, and (6) wiping the polishing tool **100** using cold water and a paper towel.

Again the polishing agent is applied to the surface of the polisher **6** of the polishing tool **100** (Step **316**). During the polishing operation (Step **322**) of the polishing tool **100**, the polisher **6** should be maintained to be wet with the polishing agent.

Once the polisher **6** is wet with the polishing agent, the aluminum monolith **4** is placed on the polisher **6**. Then the pivot pin **2** is lowered into a small pre-drilled hole in the

6

back of the aluminum monolith **4**, and the assembly **100** is set to motion. As the machine spindle **10** rotates, the polisher **6** and the metal substrate **4** also rotate while the pivot pin **2** passes back and forth over the polisher **6** at a pre-determined distance. The geometry is such that all points of the polisher **6** and all points of the metal substrate **4** see the same amount of surface feet per minute of contact resulting in even material removal. The polishing operation (Step **330**) is performed for a predetermined duration. The aluminum monolith **4** is inspected (Step **332**) to determine if acceptable surface figure and roughness are achieved.

After the polishing operation (Step **330**) and before the measuring operation (step **334**), which is to measure the surface of the metallic monolith for verifying whether predetermined values of surface roughness and surface accuracy have been obtained, the aluminum monolith needs to be cleaned (Step **332**) to remove the polishing agent from the aluminum monolith **4** and the polisher **6**. This cleaning process (Step **332**) removes any residue of the polishing agent which might degrade on the aluminum monolith rate **4** and the polisher **6**. The cleaning process (Step **332**) involves water, a cleaning liquid comprising ammonia and water, paper towels, and a solvent such as acetone, and is performed in the following sequence: (1) deactivating the polishing tool assembly **100**, (2) removing the aluminum monolith **6** from the polishing tool assembly **100**, (3) spraying a cleaning liquid over entire surface of the aluminum monolith **4**, (4) allowing the aluminum monolith **4** to dry, (5) rinsing the aluminum monolith **4** with a solvent, and (6) wiping the polisher **6** using cold water and a paper towel.

The polishing process with the polishing agent (step **326** through **334**) is repeated until the surface of the aluminum monolith has met predetermined values of surface roughness and surface accuracy.

What is claimed is:

1. A process for producing an optical surface on a metallic monolith, which process comprises:

providing a metallic monolith;

forming a bevel on the metallic monolith;

pre-polishing a surface of the metallic monolith to produce a pre-polished surface having a surface roughness of not more than 100 angstroms rms with a surface accuracy in terms of surface figure error of not more than about one-half of a wave peak-to-valley;

polishing the pre-polished surface with a polishing agent comprising an aqueous dispersion of abrasive particles, a catalyst, and an organic solvent to produce a polished surface having a predetermined surface roughness with a surface accuracy; and

cleaning the polished surface to remove the polishing agent therefrom.

2. The process of claim 1 including the additional steps of:

i. diluting said polishing agent with water;

ii. polishing the pre-polished surface with said diluted polishing agent; and

iii. sequentially repeating steps i and ii to produce a polished surface having a surface having a predetermined surface roughness with a surface accuracy, wherein the mixing proportions of said polishing agent and said diluting water range from less than 100% to 50% and from greater than 0% to 50% by weight, respectively, based on the total weight of the diluted polishing agent.

3. The process of claim 1, wherein the pre-polishing of the surface of the metallic monolith is effected by diamond turning.

7

4. The process of claim 3, wherein the polishing agent comprises carbon black, ammonium hydroxide, phenol, ethylene glycol and water.

5. The process of claim 3, wherein carbon black, ammonium hydroxide, phenol, ethylene glycol and water are present in the polishing agent in amounts sufficient to provide the following respective percentages by weight, based on the total weight of the polishing agent: 7–8%, 1–2%, 0.2–1%, 1–2%, and 85–90%.

6. The process of claim 5, wherein the polishing agent is india ink.

7. The process of claim 3, wherein the polishing of the pre-polished surface with the polishing agent is effected by the method of random motion polishing.

8. The process of claim 3, wherein the metallic monolith is aluminum.

9. The process of claim 8, wherein the aluminum is 6061-T6 aluminum.

10. The process of claim 1 including the additional step of:

cleaning said metallic monolith and a polisher; and measuring the surface of said metallic monolith for verifying whether predetermined values of surface roughness and surface accuracy have been obtained.

11. The process of claim 10 wherein said step of cleaning said metallic monolith and the polisher comprises:

removing said metallic monolith from the polisher; spraying a cleaning liquid over said metallic monolith; allowing said metallic monolith to dry; rinsing said metallic monolith with a solvent; and wiping the polisher using cold water and a paper towel.

12. The process of claim 11 wherein said cleaning liquid comprises ammonia and water.

13. The process of claim 11 wherein said solvent is acetone.

14. The process of claim 1 wherein the bevel forming step is performed prior to the pre-polishing step.

15. The process of claim 1 wherein the bevel forming step is performed prior to the polishing step.

16. A process for producing an optical surface on a metallic monolith, which process comprises:

providing a metallic monolith;

forming a bevel on the metallic monolith;

pre-polishing a surface of the metallic monolith to produce a pre-polished surface having a surface roughness of not more than 100 angstroms rms with a surface accuracy in terms of surface figure error of not more than about one-half of a wave peak-to-valley;

refining the pre-polished surface by rubbing thereof with an abrasant in combination with a polishing agent, the abrasant comprising diamond particles, and the polishing agent comprising an aqueous dispersion of abrasive particles, a catalyst, and an organic solvent, for a period of time sufficient to produce a refined surface showing no rainbow effect or diffraction;

cleaning the refined surface and a polisher to remove said abrasant and said polishing agent therefrom;

polishing the cleaned surface with the polishing agent to produce a polished surface having a predetermined surface roughness with a surface accuracy;

and cleaning the polished surface to remove the polishing agent therefrom.

17. The process of claim 16 including the additional steps of

8

i. diluting said polishing agent with water;

ii. polishing the pre-polished surface with said diluted polishing agent; and

iii. sequentially repeating steps i and ii to produce a polished surface having a surface having a predetermined surface roughness with a surface accuracy, wherein the mixing proportions of said polishing agent and said diluting water range from less than 100% to 50% and from greater than 0% to 50% by weight, respectively, based on the total weight of the diluted polishing agent.

18. The process of claim 16, wherein the pre-polishing of the surface of the metallic monolith is effected by diamond turning.

19. The process of claim 18 wherein the polishing agent comprises carbon black, ammonium hydroxide, phenol, ethylene glycol and water.

20. The process of claim 19, wherein carbon black, ammonium hydroxide, phenol, ethylene glycol and water are present in the polishing agent in amounts sufficient to provide the following respective percentages by weight, based on the total weight of the polishing agent: 7–8%, 1–2%, 0.2–1%, 1–2%, and 85–90%.

21. The process of claim 20, wherein the polishing agent is india ink.

22. The process of claim 17, wherein the polishing of the pre-polished surface with the polishing agent is effected by the method of random motion polishing.

23. The process of claim 18, wherein the metallic monolith is aluminum.

24. The process of claim 23, wherein the aluminum is 6061-T6 aluminum.

25. The process of claim 18 wherein the size of said diamond particles is within the ranges of 0.25 to 0.5 microns.

26. The process of claim 18 wherein the time period for said refining process with said diamond particles is about 15 minutes.

27. The process of claim 16 including the additional step of:

cleaning said metallic monolith and said polisher; and measuring the surface of said metallic monolith for verifying whether predetermined values of surface roughness and surface accuracy have been obtained.

28. The process of claim 27 wherein said step of cleaning said metallic monolith and the polisher comprises:

removing said metallic monolith from the polisher;

spraying a cleaning liquid over said metallic monolith;

allowing said metallic monolith to dry;

rinsing said metallic monolith with a solvent; and

wiping the polisher using cold water and a paper towel.

29. The process of claim 28 wherein said cleaning liquid comprises ammonia and water.

30. The process of claim 29 wherein said solvent is acetone.

31. The process of claim 16 wherein the bevel forming step is performed prior to the pre-polishing step.

32. The process of claim 16 wherein the bevel forming step is performed prior to the polishing step.

\* \* \* \* \*